

SMITH BAY WHARF

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

APPENDIX E

PREPARED FOR KANGAROO ISLAND PLANTATION TIMBERS BY ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS
JANUARY 2019

SMITH BAY WHARF

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

APPENDIX E

PREPARED FOR KANGAROO ISLAND PLANTATION TIMBERS BY ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS
JANUARY 2019

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX E – COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

E1	Stakeholder Reference Group Workshop Report.....
E2	Key Stakeholder List.....





Appendix E1 – Stakeholder Reference Group Workshop Report

Smith Bay Wharf EIS

Report on the Stakeholder Reference Group Workshop

Kangaroo Island, November 2017





Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	BACKGROUND	3
3.	APPROACH	4
4.	WORKSHOP OUTCOMES	4
4.1	Key questions	5
4.2	Main themes/issues raised in workshop	5
4.2.1	Agriculture	5
4.2.2	Environment	6
4.2.3	Roads	6
4.2.4	Alternative sites	6
4.2.5	Tourism	6
4.2.6	Forestry	7
4.2.7	Sound noise impacts	7
4.2.8	Clean and Green character	7
4.2.9	The economy	8
4.3	Constructive discussion exercise and results	8
4.3.1	What stakeholders want to see happen	8
4.3.2	What stakeholders don't want to happen	9
4.3.3	What stakeholders think would be the best outcome for Kangaroo Island	9
4.3.4	Closing discussion	10
5.	CONCLUSIONS	10

List of Appendices

Appendix A-

Stakeholder Reference Group (SRG) invitees and participants

Appendix B-

Invitation, workshop outline, questionnaire template



1. INTRODUCTION

This report presents the outcomes of the Stakeholder Reference Group (SRG) workshop held at 3:30 pm Thursday 23 November 2017 at Kingscote to discuss Kangaroo Island Plantation Timbers' (KIPT) proposed port development at Smith Bay.

The workshop was held to provide an opportunity for stakeholders to share ideas and raise concerns about the proposal. The workshop was chaired by Adelaide-based management consultant Brian Cunningham, and facilitated by Barbara Chappell, a community and stakeholder engagement specialist.

The focus of the SRG was to hear from representatives of Kangaroo Island's businesses, industries and community organisations. Other interested parties and individuals have been notified of the proposed development and approached on a more individual and face-to-face basis.

This report is based on written recordings and notes taken during the workshop.

2. BACKGROUND

In October 2016, Kangaroo Island Plantation Timbers (KIPT) submitted an application to develop a deep-water port at Smith Bay to transport harvested timber from Kangaroo Island to markets overseas.

In February 2017 the proposal was awarded major development status under section 46 of the *Development Act 1993* (SA). As a result, KIPT is required to provide detailed information in compliance with the state-based Development Assessment Commission (DAC) guidelines. KIPT must answer questions raised, assess aspects defined and develop plans specified in the guidelines and submit its responses as part of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

The objectives of the workshop were to enable:

- information to be shared among participants, the EIS team, and the proponent
- concerns, ideas and questions to be raised for consideration by the proponent and the EIS team
- potential impacts (both positive and negative) to be identified
- options (i.e. changes, modifications or variations) which may:
 - enhance the benefits of the proposed development
 - eliminate or mitigate any adverse consequences of the proposed development to be discussed.

It should be noted that the SRG workshop was part of an extensive community and stakeholder consultative process being undertaken as part of developing the EIS.

Engagement by the EIS team with organisations who participated in the workshop, other organisations on Kangaroo Island, Kangaroo Island's community and those directly affected by the proposal, will continue as the Draft EIS is prepared. Formal public consultation on the released Draft EIS, which is facilitated by the South Australian government, will provide further opportunity for the Kangaroo Island community to comment and provide feedback on the proposal.



3. APPROACH

A list of key stakeholder organisations representing Kangaroo Island business and industry groups was prepared (see Appendix A) in consultation with the stakeholders.

The aims and objectives of the workshop were drafted by the facilitator and chair to ensure the needs of all stakeholders were given equal consideration.

Initial invitations to attend the workshop were emailed on 18 October 2017. Follow-up invitations were sent to organisations who had changed their details and to organisations which had not been initially invited but had expressed interest by contacting the EIS office or KIPT.

Each invitation included a template that provided guidelines to stakeholders on presenting any key messages they wanted considered at the workshop (see invitation, workshop outline; template; and stakeholder list attached as Appendix B). Stakeholders were also invited to make any further enquiries about the proposal and the proposed workshop.

Prior to the workshop the facilitator contacted stakeholders and encouraged them to bring information to the workshop that they believed relevant; and to voice their key concerns, ideas, questions and options.

The workshop documents were re-sent to all who requested them.

Follow-up phone calls and reminders were made to maximise participation of stakeholders in the workshop.

4. WORKSHOP OUTCOMES

Environmental Projects provided an overview of its role and involvement in the proposed development.

KIPT also provided an overview of the Company and the proposed facility.

Stakeholders presented their perceptions and/or concerns about potential impacts and the interests and views of their members.

The workshop opening session focused on clarifying some key points. These included that:

- other opportunities for future engagement would be made available
- a six-week public consultation period for comment on the EIS, will be announced by the SA Government, and will form part of the approvals process
- during the consultation period members of the public will be invited to make formal submissions
- because participants found that a number of terms were being used interchangeably, such as jetty, pontoon, wharf and port, a clear description of what is being proposed was sought.

Stakeholders' presentations and discussions focused on some key points. These included that:

- stakeholders needed the opportunity to clearly articulate and communicate their concerns to local government, Kangaroo Island groups and state government
- stakeholders expressed that it was important for them and the community to get feedback on how their concerns are being addressed



- it was clarified that stakeholders have the opportunity to contact KIPT directly
- it was clarified that the stakeholders have the opportunity to contact Environmental Projects directly.

4.1 Key questions

Participants raised a number of questions. In summary, these included questions about:

- economic opportunities
- the percentage of forestry that would be harvested
- number of drivers required: where would they live and what would be the work arrangements
- where would the jobs be
- where would the maintenance site be for maintenance personnel
- how would customs and quarantine be managed
- what would the quantity of the harvest be
- how many [vehicle and ship] movements per day would there be
- what does the design of the wharf look like
- what would the flow of sand build-up on either side of the wharf structure be
- how far out do abalone intake pipes go
- how much dredging and sand movement might occur
- what is the game plan if there is no funding provided through the pathways identified?
- KIPT holdings and Yumbah holdings
- public access to the wharf for fishing and yachts to tie up
- why the Kangaroo Island business community isn't trying to grow the whale-watching business
- the south coast as a site (e.g. Ballast Head)
- Smith Bay being a unique area of significance to marine mammals
- Vivonne Bay as an alternative site
- What is required of the EIS given that other locations have been identified?
- Is the EIS expected to demonstrate that Smith Bay is only looked at?
- potential impact on wildlife and terrestrial wildlife as a result of increased truck traffic.

All questions raised will be considered and if relevant they will be answered in the draft EIS.

4.2 Main themes/issues raised in workshop

The following sections summarise key themes raised by stakeholders during the workshop.

4.2.1 Agriculture

Concerns were raised about Kangaroo Island's agriculture businesses and included:

- Some scepticism toward the prospective timber industry.
- Some acknowledgment of the potential emerging agricultural business impacts and also of the opportunities for freight synergies.
- Concerns about traffic, road maintenance, truck use/volumes, runoff from forestry areas when harvested impacting waterways and adjacent properties, and management of feral animals (in particular feral pigs).
- Existing forest boundary fences are dilapidated (animal and feral animal control needed).
- It was suggested that mitigation of biosecurity risks would be needed.
- It was suggested that unsuitable land be returned back to agricultural use.



4.2.2 Environment

Smith Bay was considered an important environmental region:

- Smith Bay was described as a “key habitat”, a “critical habitat” and biologically important area for Southern Right Whales and Blue Whales.
- It was asserted that the area is a calving area and further concerns were raised in relation to anthropogenic sound for whales and dolphins.
- Reference was made to the:
 - conservation management plan
 - papers by Geoff Prideaux and Grant Flannagan
 - Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure underwater piling guidelines
 - “declaration of rights” cetaceans.
- The proposed development at Smith Bay triggers the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (the EPBC Act) due to the potential for impact to different matters of national environmental significance (MNES). It was expressed that there is the need to apply the precautionary principle.
- The meeting was informed that Dolphin Watch collect and collate data, publish scientific papers, and have put in sound traps to identify the species that move through the Smith Bay area.
- It was asserted that this data be used as part of the EIS process.

4.2.3 Roads

It was suggested that KIPT look to ensure the reduction of transport through the shortest route as this would reduce impacts on wildlife, road structure and Kangaroo Island’s tourism brand:

- Vivonne Bay was considered by some participants as a suitable alternative location to Smith Bay and a need was expressed to reduce road traffic and keep as much of the economy down the western end of Kangaroo Island.
- The ability for the roads to stand the sheer volume of trucks was raised as a potential concern.
- The issue of increased road kill was also discussed, particularly as it was considered important to how tourists view the island in terms of its “clean and green” image.

4.2.4 Alternative sites

The potential to pursue the proposal at alternative sites was a key theme throughout the discussions. Participants often indicated that the problems they perceived could be effectively mitigated by use of an alternative location. Vivonne Bay and Ballast Head were mentioned as a potential alternative site. Participants wanted clarification around the criteria used to choose Smith Bay as the site.

4.2.5 Tourism

There were concerns about the potential impact to tourism:

- The use of the wharf for cruise ships, was seen as a mixed message and might cause “confusion and angst” for tourism operators.
- There were suggestions that promoting the idea of Smith Bay as being suitable for cruise ships needed to be stopped.



- Concerns about Kangaroo Island's "clean and green" image was raised and potential impacts of the proposal on nature and environmental tourism were considered to have a negative effect on the Island's green image.
- The 'sense of arrival' for tourists that might arrive at an industrial port was not viewed as favourable. It was highlighted that more tourists use roads in the south to places like Vivonne Bay, rather than the Playford Highway and North Coast Road.
- Another participant expressed concerns about the economic impact on Whale Watching. It was argued that siting the wharf anywhere along the north coast is problematic for whales and dolphins, given that it is considered a calving area (and other questions were raised about the south coast of Kangaroo Island, and whether it was significantly different to the North Coast on this aspect). Ballast Head was suggested as a potential alternative site.

4.2.6 Forestry

Forestry was recognised as an important industry for Kangaroo Island:

- Getting the timber off the Island was considered positive and part of building the image of Kangaroo Island as a primary producer. In this context, some saw development of Smith Bay as an acceptable port for everybody.
- The viability of current Eucalyptus plantations was discussed.
- The koala population and koala management was raised.

4.2.7 Sound noise impacts

Concerns were raised that major impacts, over long distances, could occur as a result of underwater noise from construction activities:

- Concern the proposal's noise may impact on the dolphins.
- Concern related to underwater noise from propellers (of ships) and a suggestion that mitigation measures could be implemented, including changing the pitch of propellers by slowing down the engine speed.
- Some noted that if sound (or noise) modelling was included, and mitigation was developed to manage the impacts, then the proposal would be workable.
- One participant (self-identified as a recreational fisher) has noticed that dolphins "love his boat" and that the noise does not seem to bother them. This was a comment made in response to concerns raised on underwater noise in terms of impacts to dolphins and whales.
- It was noted previous experience exists in relation to the dolphins co-existing with industry in the Port River: as they are of the same variety. A participant also suggested that dolphins can tolerate the noise generated from shipping.

4.2.8 Clean and Green character

Participants identified a broad range of things about the lifestyle and industries on the Island that are driven by environment and which contribute to its brand:

- The fact that the Island's brand is "clean and green" was consistently raised, and it was argued that this brand defines Kangaroo Island's identity and uniqueness.
- It was asserted that there is an intertwined relationship between the environment and the economy: the environment was seen to drive the economy in multiple ways and it was suggested that part of the planning needs to incorporate consideration of how to utilise the environment to the benefit of the Kangaroo Island community.



- It was noted that Kangaroo Island residents are adaptive and should be able to influence the outcomes and decisions appropriate for Kangaroo Island.
- Participants argued that they wish to be able to control their destiny.
- It was suggested that KIPT find ways to develop this project whilst being great stewards of the environment and KIPT indicated a willingness to be positive and open in their focus and ethics in this regard.
- Kangaroo Islanders want to have a say and be involved in the decision-making process.

4.2.9 The economy

Concerns about potential job losses (in tourism and agriculture) were expressed, and participants did not want any job losses to occur as a result of the wharf's development.

- The example of young people who work/get supported at Yumbah was used, and the job opportunities and growth of current businesses is beneficial – participants were worried the proposal may compromise this situation.
- Proposed future job creation as a result of the proposed development, however, was seen as a benefit.
- Access to export opportunities was a core concern.
- Access to export is a core concern.
- Co-existence of aquaculture and the port, with any challenges mitigated and verified with ongoing compliance.
- The creation of more jobs via an increase in exporting was seen as an opportunity to bring in more families to Kangaroo Island and improve schools and services.
- Tourism is a growing and important industry for Kangaroo Island and tourist operators are concerned about potential impacts posed by KIPT's activities.

4.3 Constructive discussion exercise and results

During the workshop, the stakeholders were asked to consider all the information and discussions (summarised above) and reflect on and write up the key things that *"they want to see happen"*; that *"they don't want to happen"*; that *"they think would be the best outcome for KI"*. The results are presented below under the categories of "economic", "environment" and "social".

4.3.1 What stakeholders want to see happen

Economic

- Harvest the trees.
- A sustainable, commercially viable plantation forestry industry on Kangaroo Island.
- A shared port built somewhere on the Island.
- Want the trees harvested. Happy to see the timber on Kangaroo Island harvested and exported and a viable industry here.
- Build a wharf at Smith Bay and mobilise the timber industry.
- To seriously consider a facility on the south coast close to plantation; minimize road transport.
- Economic growth; employment outcomes; sustainable industry.
- Access to and from Kangaroo Island – export opportunities.
- A true multi-user facility that benefits Kangaroo Island.



Environmental

- Want to see this all done for the very best environmental and social outcomes for Kangaroo Island.
- Preservation of sites that are currently “pristine” and tourism “icons” versus use of sites that are degraded.
- Minimal impact on our marine animals and native animals – Yumbah’s survival.
- Transparency and rigorous science re: environmental impacts – clarity of protocols – and who will police them in the long term.
- Removal of blue gum plantations from Kangaroo Island.

Social

- Acknowledge upside, not just the negatives.
- KIPT and Yumbah talk together.
- No danger to Yumbah.
- KIPT to release information regarding its decision to develop Smith Bay to the Kangaroo Island community.

4.3.2 What stakeholders don’t want to happen

Economic

- Timber industry not viable because of high freight costs and the port not then being used.
- Timber not to be harvested.
- A valuable resource stranded or gone to waste – port not approved.
- Damage to Kangaroo Island’s reputation as a sought-after nature-based destination (heavy vehicle movement/excessive road kill/degradation of pristine coastal areas, impact on koalas etc.).
- Damage to Kangaroo Island reputation as iconic eco-tourism destination.
- Negative impact on aquaculture.

Environment

- Increase in road kill of EPBC listed species – goannas, echidnas, curlews, also bandicoots.
- To find the site is not suitable site for a port and environmentally damaging. It was suggested NOT to use Smith’s Bay as the site – almost impossible to mitigate impacts upon the marine environment.
- Negative impacts - on other businesses due to environmental issues (aquaculture, marine tourism). How can these be mitigated?

Social

- Division of Islanders.

4.3.3 What stakeholders think would be the best outcome for Kangaroo Island

Economic

- Harvesting/sustainability together with focus on risk mitigation.
- On-going timber industry discreetly and successfully operating, minimal impacts, less costs.
- Successful and ongoing harvesting of trees.
- Employment.



- Wharf built; timber industry mobilised; environment protected; business thriving; Kangaroo Island brand enhanced.
- Best outcome would be the export of the timber as planned from a suitable port facility which has met all possible needs and issues.

Environment

- Development consistent with the Island's positioning as an environmentally aware nature-based destination.
- The best location is chosen using independent scientific criteria.
- A port with least impact on roads, wildlife, marine wildlife, local people, tourists.

Social

- Flow-down effects resulting from the mobilisation of the timber industry e.g. building especially in Parndana; schools e.g. Parndana; small business success; jobs.
- A truly shared port for the good of all Islanders in the best location.
- That KIPT and Yumbah co-exist at Smith Bay.

4.3.4 Closing discussion

An overall summary of the main SRG discussion points in closing of the workshop were:

- Stakeholders need to have more say, more involvement, empowerment is beneficial, that's why we are here at the workshop, and we have different ways of expressing it
- People are beginning to realise that they have the capacity to direct the future development of the Island
- Reduce the impacts and there will be support for the harvesting of the forests
- Road issues need management and need to be addressed collectively. Develop reduction in the speed limits, reduce road kill, and ensure protection of roadside wildlife
- Protect and help build Kangaroo Island's representation of itself as an environmentally aware destination, maintain Kangaroo Island's international reputation, the Kangaroo Island brand
- It is felt that there is a culture of opposing development on Kangaroo Island, and this needs to be acknowledged
- People on Kangaroo Island thus also have negative perceptions of the impact of tourism and agriculture, as much as that of the impact of developments such as Smith Bay/KIPT.
- Opportunity to improve social capital matters, e.g. there is a struggle to fill sports teams with people leaving the Island – there is a need to stop this and KIPT should consider how Smith Bay, and their activities, may contribute to improving the situation on Kangaroo Island in this context.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This workshop forms part of the ongoing stakeholder consultation process for the EIS, and those present were asked how they would like future consultation to occur.

Stakeholders indicated that while they sought more formal engagement by the EIS team with respective organisations on the Island that they did not see a need for the SRG to meet again. They felt that the workshop allowed them to voice their concerns and opened the channels for direct communication.

Many stakeholders requested the opportunity to contact Environmental Projects directly and were therefore provided details of the EIS website and Environmental Project's contact details.



Views expressed during the workshop will be considered:

- where appropriate, for the EIS, impact assessments, and the mitigation and management measures contained within it
- for subsequent planning and approval processes for components of KIPT's operation that are outside of the EIS scope
- by KIPT to build into the project and their operation Smith Bay encourage and stimulate ongoing dialogue on the proposal, KIPT's operation and Kangaroo Island's future development.

Continued efforts to communicate the progress of the impact assessments and details of the proposal by the EIS team, and additionally by Kangaroo Island Plantation Timbers as part of their community engagement program, will ensure that stakeholders are informed to enable them to understand the proposal and to allow constructive feedback.

Overall, stakeholder feedback, during the workshop, demonstrated that participants did not want to see division and that they needed to work together to use research, science and engagement to make an informed decision about developing a new industry. They expressed the need to see the "clean and green" brand of Kangaroo Island maintained, and that no environmental harm or loss of environmental amenity occur as a result of the proposal.

Stakeholders also asserted their aspiration to be part of the process, and expressed the need to feel empowered, listened to and have their input acted upon.



Appendix A-

Stakeholder Reference Group (SRG) invitees and participants



Smith Bay deep-water wharf project – Stakeholder Reference Group Workshop 23 November 2017				
	Stakeholder group	Represented by	Position	Comments
1	Kangaroo Island Council	Peter Clements	Mayor	Apology
2	Commissioner for KI	Wendy Campana	Commissioner	Attended
3	Yumbah Aquaculture	David Connell	General Manager	Absent (requested documents to be tabled)
4	KI NRM Board	Richard Trethewey	Presiding Member	Attended
5	Independent plantation owners' representative	Steven Childs	Representative	Attended
6	Tourism KI	Pierre Gregor	Chair	Attended
7	Tourism and transport representative	Cathleen Wallis	Marine Operations and Service Manager, Sealink	(Observer)
8	KI Road Safety Committee	Rodney Bell	Chair	Attended
9	Parndana Progress Association	Cheryl May	President	Invitation declined (due to having received a presentation by KIPT to their committee)
10	KI Community Club	Jane Peckover	Chair	Absent
11	Agricultural KI	Rick Morris	Chair, AgKI	Attended
12	Business KI	Alice Teasdale Sharon Kauppila	Communications Officer President	Attended (Observer)
13	KI Community Education	Maxine McSherry	Principal	Invitation declined (unable to attend)
14	KI Health Service	Nigel Jefford	Executive Officer/Director of Nursing	Absent
15	Eco-Action KI	John Hodgson	Chair	Attended
16	KIPT	Shauna Black Peter Lockett	Board Member Director, Community Engagement Approvals Manager	Attended
17	KI/Victor Harbor Dolphin Watch (and associated KI Marine Adventures)	Tony Bartram (Andrew Neighbour, Nina Maurovic)		Attended (Observers)



Appendix B-

Invitation, workshop outline, questionnaire template

[day month] 2017

[Name]
[Organisation]
[Address]

Dear [Name]

Environmental Projects, an Adelaide-based team of experienced environmental consultants, is preparing an independent Environmental Impact Statement to assess impacts of the Smith Bay deep-water wharf facility proposed by Kangaroo Island Plantation Timbers (KIPT).

As part of this assessment process and the Community and Stakeholder Engagement Plan for the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), Environmental Projects is convening a Stakeholder Reference Group to provide an opportunity for discussion between key stakeholder groups and KIPT.

The purpose of this letter is to invite you, or a nominated representative from your organisation, to participate in the Group's workshop at 3:30 pm Thursday 23 November 2017 at a venue TBA in Kingscote.

The workshop aims to provide participants with the opportunity to:

- share information they believe pertinent to the proposed facility
- raise key concerns, ideas and questions for consideration in the EIS
- identify potential impacts (both positive and negative) of the proposed facility
- identify options which may enhance the benefits of the proposed facility and/or eliminate or mitigate any adverse impacts of the proposed facility.

A draft program for the workshop is attached and a template provided regarding the type of information you may wish to compile in preparing to attend the workshop. The list of other invited participants is also attached for your information.

The workshop will be chaired by Brian Cunningham, an Adelaide-based management consultant who specialises in change management and strategic leadership. Brian was player, Captain and then Chief Executive of the Port Adelaide Football Club and CEO of the SA Department of Further Education, Employment, Science and Technology, and the Department of Trade and Economic Development. He brings a sound understanding of government processes to this role.

Barbara Chappell, an Adelaide-based leader in community and stakeholder engagement, will assist by facilitating the workshop.

Please email me (Maria.Pedicini@environmentalprojects.com.au) as soon as possible to indicate if you will attend or if you will send a representative from your organisation.

In addition, if you would like to discuss the Stakeholder Reference Group or the EIS assessment process, please call me during business hours on 8470 9030.

I look forward to hearing from you,


Maria Pedicini
Principal Consultant, Smith Bay EIS Manager



**Smith Bay deep-water wharf project – Stakeholder Reference
Group Workshop 23 November 2017 – Participants**

Agriculture Kangaroo Island
Business Kangaroo Island
Commissioner for Kangaroo Island
Eco-Action Kangaroo Island
Independent plantation owners' representative
Kangaroo Island Community Club
Kangaroo Island Dolphin Watch
Kangaroo Island Council
Kangaroo Island Health Service
Kangaroo Island Plantation Timbers Kangaroo
Kangaroo Island Natural Resources Management Board
Kangaroo Island Road Safety Committee
Tourism and Transport representative
Tourism Kangaroo Island
Yumbah Aquaculture (via tabled documents)

Level 3, 117 King William Street, Adelaide 5000
www.environmentalprojects.com.au

Environmental approvals ISO1 4001 Systems and audits Strategic advice
Contaminated land Risk assessments and feasibility Compliance and due diligence



STAKEHOLDER REFERENCE GROUP MEETING OUTLINE

Venue tba

3:30 pm – 8:00 pm Thursday 23rd November 2017

TIME	SESSION DETAILS
3:15 - 3:30 pm	Registration <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Afternoon tea provided
3.30 pm	Session opening and official welcome
3:40 pm	Opening words from Mr Brian Cunningham (Chair)
3:50 pm	Overview of EIS for project
4:00 pm	Part 1 - Stakeholder Presentations <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Between 10 – 15 minutes (max) for each presentation (depends to some extent on the number of stakeholders wanting to present)• Time for questions and answers after each presentation• See presentation template attached
5:00 pm	Break
5:10 pm	Continue with presentations and Q&A
6:00 pm	Dinner Break
6:45pm	Part 2 – Option Generation Option generation, developing ideas, problem solving
7:45 pm	Check-in Session
7:50 pm	Where to from here? Set a date for update on any agreed actions or further sessions
8:00 pm	Close

1

Level 3, 117 King William Street, Adelaide 5000
www.environmentalprojects.com.au

Environmental approvals ISO1 4001 Systems and audits Strategic advice
Contaminated land Risk assessments and feasibility Compliance and due diligence



STAKEHOLDER PRESENTATION TEMPLATE

We want to make sure everyone gets an equal opportunity to present their information during the workshop, so we have prepared this template to assist all participants in the process.

INTRODUCTION

Who am I?	
Who do I represent?	
My role in the organisation	
What are my organisation's interests?	
What is important to us?	

Given your organisation's interests, based on what we know about the proposed Smith Bay wharf:

What's good - the positives?	
What concerns us - the negatives?	
What I would like to achieve today?	



Any other comments	

INFORMATION TO SHARE

Please provide a copy of any information you want to share with other stakeholders to Maria Pedicini
Maria.Pedicini@environmentalprojects.com.au - mobile: 0421 708757

PRESENTATION SUPPORT

Please let Maria know if you need access to any equipment for your presentation.

FURTHER INFORMATION

Please contact Barbara Chappell (workshop facilitator) on 0429 155743 or chappell@aapt.net.au if you need any further information.

Appendix E2 – Key Stakeholder List

KEY STAKEHOLDER LIST

Industry Groups/Associations

Agriculture KI

Business KI

Eco-Action KI

KI Community Club

KI Community Education

KI Health Service

KI NRM Board

KI Road Safety Committee

KI/Victor Harbor Dolphin Watch

Parndana Progress Association

Tourism KI

Government Agency/Department

Australian Maritime Safety Authority

Country Fire Service (Development Assessment Unit)

Department of Agriculture and Water Resources (Clth)

Department of Environment and Energy (Clth)

Department of Industry and Skills

Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development (Clth)

Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure

Department of Primary Industries and Regions

Department of the Premier and Cabinet

Department for Environment and Water

Environment Protection Authority

Kangaroo Island Council

Kangaroo Island Natural Resource Management Board

Office for the Commissioner for Kangaroo Island

Other

Birdlife Kangaroo Island

Conservation Council SA

Friends of Parks South Australia (Kangaroo Island Western Districts)

Friends of the Glossies

General Public Members (Contacted Environmental Projects directly)

Independent plantation owners

KEY STAKEHOLDER LIST

Other (continued)
Kangaroo Island Community Services Centre
Kangaroo Island Progress Associations (various)
Kaurna People
KI Employment Services Sub group
Landcare Association of South Australia
Maritime Constructions
Neighbours* (including Yumbah Aquaculture)
Ramindjeri Heritage Association
RecFish SA
Tafe SA
Traditional Owners (Ramindjeri)
Western KI Landcare Group
Wildcatch Fisheries SA Inc

*NB-Neighbouring properties were identified based on their proximity to the KI Seaport site with the potential to overlook the project.

